TENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:

From the	INTERNA	IAMOITA	BUREAU
EIOH HIE		311011AL	DONEAD

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office **Box PCT**

Washington, D.C.20231 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 07 February 2000 (07.02.00)

in its capacity as elected Office

International application No. PCT/GB99/01964

Applicant's or agent's file reference NJE/G04380PC

International filing date (day/month/year) 22 June 1999 (22.06.99)

Priority date (day/month/year) 22 June 1998 (22.06.98)

Applicant

POWELL, George, Leonard

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	16 December 1999 (16.12.99)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
2.	The election X was
2.	was not
	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

The International Bureau of WIPO

34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland **Authorized officer**

Olivia RANAIVOJAONA

Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

PCT






INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G06K 7/00	A1	 (11) International Publication Number: WO 99/67735 (43) International Publication Date: 29 December 1999 (29.12.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB (22) International Filing Date: 22 June 1999 (CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC,
(30) Priority Data: 9813371.3 22 June 1998 (22.06.98)	G	Published With international search report.
(71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: POWELL, George, [GB/GB]; 15 Laura Drive, Hextable, Kent BR8 78		
(74) Agent: ERTL, Nick; Elkington and Fife, Prospect Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR (GE		8
·		

(54) Title: ANTI-COLLISION TAG APPARATUS AND SYSTEM



*Note. The amount of modulation from tags is exaggerated. Typical modulation may be too small to be shown.

(57) Abstract

This invention relates to radio frequency identification (RFID) apparatus comprising a reader/writer and transponders (tags) such that 2 or more transponders are capable of operating simultaneously in the same field so that information in the form of data bits may be received from or sent to the tags without corruption.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
ΑU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
ΑZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	ТJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	ΙE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon		Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

1

ANTI-COLLISION TAG APPARATUS AND SYSTEM

The present invention relates to radio frequency identification (RFID) apparatus comprising a reader/writer (later referred to as a 'reader') and transponders (tags).

5

10

15

20

25

Such apparatus forms the basis of a radio frequency tagging system, where the number of tags within the field that may be read is limited only by the number of unique combinations of bits used to define a code to identify each tag. Alternatively, two or more tags may define a set with the same combination or part combination of bits and these may be selected simultaneously. Such may be the case when deliberately sending data to tags of the same combination or part combination for the purposes of writing to them or disabling them or otherwise addressing them to modify their functionality.

In operation, an RFID reader will attempt to communicate with one or more RFID tags within the reader's transmission area. The reader transmits a predetermined signal (hereafter referred to as a "field") and then monitors the signal. The tags responding to the signal modulate it in a predetermined manner which is identified by the reader. However, if there are a number of tags within the transmission area it is difficult and time consuming to identify individual tags, communicate with only one tag and even to decrypt their responses to the transmitted signal.

Previous systems have mostly tried to achieve anti-collision of the signals modulated by the tags by incorporating some means to 'talk' to single tags, for example, by binary selection, sometimes by using randomness and helped by the slightly differing times that the tags are introduced into the area, or by beaming (directional control) of the field or by modification

2

of the field or alternatively by use of complicated algorithms to decrypt overlay data.

These systems are typically hindered by more than one tag 'talking' at the same time.

In the past systems have attempted to decrypt overlaying data caused by multiple tags 'talking' at the same time or have relied on random transmissions to separate signals in the time domain or have used a field beam or conduct a time consuming binary search to isolate individual tags. Often tags need to be read twice or even 3 times to confirm there has been no data error.

10

15

20

5

According to an aspect of the invention, there is provided a radio frequency tag apparatus comprising a plurality of tags and a transceiver for sending information to and receiveing information from the tags, wherein each tag is allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the tags comprising means for selectively modulating a signal received from the transceiver, and the transceiver comprising means for sending an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, wherein each portion is associated with a predetermined bit, or bit sequence, of the identification words and is used to interrogate, substantially at the same time, the tags to identify, in response to modulated signals provided by the tags, the presence of a tag or tags having a given value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence.

According to another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of detecting the presence of tags within a target area by sending interrogation signals from a transceiver for selective modulation by tags present in the target area, each tag being allocated an

3

identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the method comprising: sending from a transceiver an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, each portion being associated with a predetermined bit or bit sequence of the identification words and being capable of conveying a given value for the bit or sequence of bits, wherein tags having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence are configured to modulate the signal, the modulation being used to identify the presence of those tags.

According to a further aspect of the present invention, there is provided a radio frequency tag identification system comprising a receiver/transmitter and transponders which are adapted to start communication at the same time and to be simultaneously interrogated and progressively eliminated from interrogation.

The present invention is directed to an alternative way of interactively isolating tags in a way that is highly efficient and very fast.

15

20

10

5

Operation is such that two or more transponders are capable of operating simultaneously in the same field in such a manner that information in the form of data bits may be received or sent to the tags without corruption. This has come to be known as anti-collision.

In contrast to previous systems, the present invention will not work unless the tags are allowed to 'talk' at the same time and uses positively 'collision' rather than trying to avoid it.

Moreover, the system of the present invention described is self-checking. Not only does the

4

reader check the tag output but the tag also checks the reader output, and will drop out in the case of an error. This self check means the tag need only be read once and is secure.

The invention will now be described by way of example only, with reference to accompanying figures:

Figure 1 is a data bit stream for use in an apparastus in accordance with the invention;

Figure 2 is another data bit stream for use in an apparatus in accordance with the invention;

Figure 3 is another data bit stream for use in an apparatus in accordance with the invention;

Figure 4 is a block diagram of an RFID 'reader' for use in an apparatus in accordance with

the invention; and

Figure 5 is a block diagram of an RFID 'tag' for use in an apparatus in accordance with the

invention.

In an embodiment of the invention all active tags are requested to start communicating at the

same time, forming a 'collision' and are simultaneously interrogated and progressively

eliminated. Communications from the tags are synchronised by, in this case, a search pattern,

but this may be by any other form of synchronisation. Tags that do not receive a valid

search pattern do not send data at all.

20

15

5

10

The invention might be better thought of as Synchronised Collision. It is normal for a reader to communicate to the tag using 100% modulation of the field. To start a search pattern, the reader transmits a data pattern called a 'Start Search Pattern'. This is understood by all tags as a start search command. There is another pattern known as a 'New Sweep Pattern' which

5

signals a new sweep within the overall search. Each sweep represents a single interrogation of the tags winthin reach and a number of sweeps equal to the number of tags plus one are needed to identify the presence of each individual tag. A search will generally consist of as many sweeps as there are tags in the field and an additional final sweep that may be aborted when no tags respond. The above "start search" and "new sweep" patterns in this example are detected by their duration but may be any compatible distinguishable pattern.

Tags are only allowed to participate in a search if they have received a 'Start Search Pattern'. This among other things, prevents late arrivals from disrupting the search. After the valid reception of a start search pattern, the tag is said to go active. The reader next transmits the interrogation pulse sequence. In this embodiment, the length of the pulse determines the binary value of the interrogation pulse, but alternative encoding schemes such as pulse code modulation may be used. The tag employs amplitude modulation, however, alternative methods such as phase shift keying may be used.

15

20

5

10

A 'search' will consist of a number of 'sweeps'. Each sweep will select an individual tag or set of tags sharing the same address. A normal sequence of a search of tags of different addresses will be shown below. Three states are referred to. These are 'Active' in which case the tag will participate in the search. 'Quiescent' in which case the tag is waiting for another sweep, and 'Inactive' in which case the tag has either not received a 'Start New Search' pattern or has already participated in a search and been eliminated (wrtten to and/or read).

The sequence of steps for identifying tags in a field is as follows;

6

(a) A 'Start Search Pattern' is transmitted by the reader to alert all tags in the field that a search is to follow:- all tags in range go 'active'.

- (b) A sequence of interrogation pulses (portions) corresponding to the number of bits, or sequences of bits, of the tag identification word, or else a reduced number if a set is to be identified, is sent out by the reader to which active tags interactively respond and all but 1 tag (or a set of tags sharing an identical address) is eventually eliminated. Data may be written to any tag/tags selected. Any tag so selected will then go inactive until the next 'Start Search Pattern'. Tags failing to be selected on a bit by bit (or bit pattern by bit pattern) basis go quiescent the moment they fail such an interrogation.
- (c) A 'New Sweep Pattern' is transmitted by the reader all tags in the quiescent state go active again. Tags that have not received a 'Start Search Pattern' or have already been selected (read) remain inactive.
 - (d) The reader loops back to 'b' above. At n +1 loops the reader detects no further tag interaction and ends the current search.

15

20

5

10

After transmission of a 'Start Search Pattern' the reader sends out a pulse. As the pulse duration increases, it passes through a period in time named the 0 modulation window (MW-0) in which all active tags which have a 0 in the first bit position must reply by turning on their modulator thus modulating the field. In the absence of a detectable response the reader will continue the duration of the pulse. As the pulse duration increases it passes through another period named the 1 modulation window (MW-1) in which all active tags which have a 1 in the first bit position must reply by turning on their modulator thus modulating the field. (Later it will be explained how bit patterns (such as 00,01,10,11) can be substituted for the individual bits.)

7

An example of a start search pattern followed by the transmission of three MW-0 windows, as transmitted by a reader, is shown in Figure 1.

5

10

15

20

In this embodiment, a tag will always be asked if its next bit is a 0 before it is asked if its next bit is a 1. Where more than one tag replies by modulating the field at the same time, the modulation of the field is increased. Logic within the reader will normally, upon the detection of modulation appearing in a MW-0 window, not extend the pulse to transmit a MW-1 modulation window. Where the MW-1 modulation window is not transmitted, any active tag that has a 1 in that position is programmed to go quiescent until a 'New Sweep Pattern' is received. In the instance that no active tag has a '0' in this position, the reader will extend the pulse up to the '1' position and all the active tags with a '1' in this position will remain active. The gap between the reader pulses is used by the tag to sequence the progress through the bits. The reader transmits the next interrogation pulse and so on. In this way the reader can conduct a highly efficient binary elimination, such that it needs only a 'Start Search Pattern' or a 'New Search Pattern' followed by as many pulses as there are bits in the tag type.

In this embodiment no distinction is made between tag data and the tag address. Tag data, if present, may be placed at the end of a tag address or alternatively in addressable blocks. Once a single tag has been isolated, the same process could be repeated to read any tag data, if present, however once the possibility of collisions has been eliminated, tag data can then be read in a more conventional way such as Manchester encoding or Phase shift modulation. The key point in this invention is the self checking 'anti-collision' method of isolating a single tag (or set of tags of the same address or part address) by the method described.

8

A tag may receive a start search pattern or a new sweep pattern and participate yet be out of the read range of the reader. In this instance the tag itself detects an apparent inconsistency in the reader transmissions. For example a tag which has just modulated a 0 window will expect the pulse to terminate instead of going on to the 1 modulation window. In this case the tag will go quiescent and wait for a new sweep pattern. This is a self-check and is a system advantage.

5

10

15

20

When a single tag or set of tags has been successfully isolated and identified, the reader may also write data to it (assuming the tag has EEROM or EPROM). Once read, the tag may be pre-programmed to remain silent until either the field is removed or it receives a new 'Start Search Pattern'. The reader will usually next transmit a 'New Sweep Pattern' and continue reading and eliminating tags until none remain. The 'New Sweep Pattern' wakes any tag in the 'quiescent state' that has been eliminated, but not read, from a previous sweep, allowing it to participate in the next sweep. Each sweep will normally identify a unique tag hence there will usually be as many sweeps as there are tags in the field, and a last sweep that will produce no results and may be aborted early.

The reader begins by sending a search pattern. The reader next starts to transmit a pulse. If no tag modulates the 0 window of the pulse, the reader will deduce that there is no active tag in the field that has a 0 in the first bit position. In this case the reader would continue the pulse to include a 1 modulation window. Any tag in the field with a 1 in this position will start modulating in this window and will continue to be active. In the instance of the first bit, if neither window is modulated the reader will deduce that there is no tag in range.

9

Assuming the first bit is modulated in the 0 modulation window (MW-0) and the reader stopped the pulse before the 1 modulation window, any tag with a 1 in this position will go quiescent until either a 'New Sweep Pattern' or a 'Start Search Pattern' is received. The reader continues onto the second bit and so on until it gets to the last bit position and an individual tag (or set of tags) has been isolated. After a tag has been identified and eliminated the reader will start a new sweep. (The significance of mentioning a 'set of tags' lay in the possibility that a special set of tags can be switched off, or if the facility allows, be written to, or otherwise made to perform in a special way. A further type of reader modulation may be inserted – say by a pulse extending beyond the 1 modulation window or an extra mini pulse - to let the set know it has been selected.)

5

10

15

20

In this fashion the reader will detect a 64 bit tag every 64 bit pulses (not including the 'Start Search Pattern and the 'New Sweep Patterns'). This is a highly efficient algorithm.

Figures 2 and 3 show a received signal at the reader, in response to a signal transmitted by the reader and modulated by tags.

Referring to Figure 2, it will be seen that pulses A, B and C have all been modulated in the '0' modulation window (MW-0). This is represented by the fall in the signal level following the MW-0 signal. This shows that there is at least one active tag in the field having a '0' in the first three bit positions. The 'Start Search Pattern in fig 1 is shown as a long pulse by example. In practice a long break in the field is more usual. This resets all tags.

In Figure 3, it will be seen that the first pulse (A) has been modulated by at least one tag

10

which has a '0' in the first position. The second interrogating pulse (B) shows that no active tags have a '0' bit in this position. As a consequence the reader has continued the pulse to allow active tags with a '1' in this position to respond and modulate the '1' modulation window and stay active. In this way tags are progressively read and eliminated.

In this embodiment modulation of the field by the tags is amplitude modulated (ASK) but phase modulation (PSK), frequency modulation (FSK) or any detectable form of modulation may be employed.

5

10

15

20

In this embodiment individual bits have been interrogated for simplicity, although bit patterns can be interrogated such as 00, 01, 10 or 11 and so on. In the instance of the following bit patterns, 00,01,10,11 this can be achieved by using 4 possible modulation windows representing the 4 possible combinations. The operation will be then carried out as before. The first modulation window could be 00. Any active tags having this bit pattern at this stage of the prosecution of the interrogation will be required to modulate this window and remain active. This will eliminate tags having bit patterns 01,10 and 11. Should no tags have a 00 pattern then the reader will extend the pulse to include the next modulation window and so on as in the case of detecting '0' and '1' bits. Because the reader need not modulate the field as many times, there is some speed advantage. As the bit pattern is increased beyond 2-3 bits it will be increasingly more difficult extract a speed advantage and if the bit pattern is made still larger the overall speed will eventually diminish.

Figures 4 and 5 are block diagrams of conventional apparatus configured for use in the present invention.

11

<u>Claims</u>

5

10

15

- 1. A radio frequency tag apparatus comprising a plurality of tags and a transceiver for sending information to and receiveing information from the tags, wherein each tag is allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the tags comprising means for selectively modulating a signal received from the transceiver, and the transceiver comprising means for sending an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, wherein each portion is associated with a predetermined bit, or bit sequence, of the identification words and is used to interrogate, substantially at the same time, the tags to identify, in response to modulated signals provided by the tags, the presence of a tag or tags having a given value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence.
- 2. An apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising, an antennae array, a radio frequency transponder, an external data communication port and an energising source.
- 3. An apparatus as claimed in 1 or 2 capable of generating modulated radio frequency power for application to the antennae.
- 4. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including in each tag an inductive loop antennae or capacitor plates that will convert the electric power into an electric field to communicate with transponders and in some cases provide the power for transponders where this power is not derived internally within the transponder from internal batteries or a light cell.

PCT/GB99/01964

12

WO 99/67735

15

20

5. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including in each tag an antenna that will convert the signal power from the transceiver into an RF field to communicate with transponders.

- 6. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including means for determining the nature of the modulation based on the logical outcome of previous communications with tags to conduct a binary search.
- 7. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including means for detecting
 the modulation impressed on the field by any tag comprising a demodulator and an amplifier,
 wherein the modulation signal is sent to a processor in a logic block and is digitised within
 a logic processor and evaluated.
 - 8. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, the tag or tags comprising of signal pickup means, a rectifier, a limiter with hysteresis, a clock extractor, a data extractor, a modulator and a logic section.
 - 9. An apparatus as claimed in claim 8, in which the signal pickup means comprises a pickup coil.

10. A method of detecting the presence of tags within a target area by sending interrogation signals from a transceiver for selective modulation by tags present in the target area, each tag being allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the method comprising:

13

sending from a transceiver an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, each portion being associated with a predetermined bit or bit sequence of the identification words and being capable of conveying a given value for the bit or sequence of bits, wherein tags having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence are configured to modulate the signal, the modulation being used to identify the presence of those tags.

11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein the presence of a tag or tags having an individual identification word is detected by sending an interrogation signal having portions corresponding to all bits of the identification words.

10

5

12. A method as claimed in claim 10 or 11, wherein each portion comprises a first part which is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a first value, and a second part which is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a second value.

15

13. A method as claimed in claim 12, wherein if a portion is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits having the first value, the first part is sent, and if the portion is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a second value, the first and second parts are sent.

20

14. A method as claimed in claim 10 to 13, wherein a tag not having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence ignores further signals until an activation or a wake signal is received.

14

15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 14, wherein data bits of a tag transponder are read from and/or written to by sending further bits after the interrogation signal, wherein tag then deactivates and ignores further signals until an activation signal is received.

5

16. A radio frequency tag identification system comprising a receiver/transmitter and transponders which are adapted to start communication at the same time and to be simultaneously interrogated and progressively eliminated from interrogation.

1/2




Fig 1






Fig. 2





*Note. The amount of modulation from tags is exaggerated. Typical modulation may be too small to be shown.



•

Inter nal Application No

		PC	CT/GB 99/01964			
A. CLASSI IPC 6	FICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER G06K7/00					
According to	b International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	ation and IPC				
	SEARCHED					
IPC 6	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by classification $G06K$	ion symbols)				
Documental	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that s	such documents are included	in the fields searched			
- Et-sansis al						
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data ba	se and, where practical, sear	rch terms used)			
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rel	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
χ	EP 0 702 324 A (IBM)		1-3,5,			
^	20 March 1996 (1996-03-20)		10,11,			
Υ	column 4, line 18 -column 12, lir	ne 8:	14-16 8,9			
۸	figures 1-6	,				
Α			12,13			
Y	US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD ET 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06)	(AL)	8,9			
	the whole document					
Α	US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M	1 ET AL)	14,15			
	1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line	12:				
	figures 1-5	,				
Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family memb	pers are listed in annex.			
° Special cat	egories of cited documents :	"T" later document published	after the international filing date			
"A" docume	nt defining the general state of the art which is not ered to be of particular relevance	or priority date and not it	n conflict with the application but principle or theory underlying the			
"E" earlier d	levance; the claimed invention ovel or cannot be considered to					
"L" documer which i	when the document is taken alone levance; the claimed invention					
"O" docume	citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "O" document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled					
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family						
Date of the a	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the int	ernational search report			
1	October 1999	08/10/1999				
Name and m	ailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2	Authorized officer				
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Degraeve,	A			

....ormation on patent family members

Intern al Application No PCT/GB 99/01964

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0702324 A	20-03-1996	US 5550547 A JP 8094746 A SG 33353 A	27-08-1996 12-04-1996 18-10-1996
US 5345231 A	06-09-1994	AT 395224 B DE 59105094 D EP 0473569 A JP 2683305 B JP 6152473 A	27-10-1992 11-05-1995 04-03-1992 26-11-1997 31-05-1994
US 4691202 A	01-09-1987	AT 65328 T AU 572321 B AU 4533485 A EP 0161779 A GB 2157132 A,B JP 62046281 A	15-08-1991 05-05-1988 29-01-1987 21-11-1985 16-10-1985 28-02-1987

of the field or alternatively by use of complicated algorithms to decrypt overlay data.

These systems are typically hindered by more than one tag 'talking' at the same time.

In the past systems have attempted to decrypt overlaying data caused by multiple tags 'talking' at the same time or have relied on random transmissions to separate signals in the time domain or have used a field beam or conduct a time consuming binary search to isolate individual tags. Often tags need to be read twice or even 3 times to confirm there has been no data error.

10

15

20

5

According to an aspect of the invention, there is provided a radio frequency tag apparatus comprising a plurality of tags and a transceiver for sending information to and receiveing information from the tags, wherein each tag is allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the tags comprising means for selectively modulating a signal received from the transceiver, and the transceiver comprising means for sending an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, wherein each portion is associated with a predetermined bit, or bit sequence, of the identification words and is used to interrogate, substantially at the same time, the tags to identify, in response to modulated signals provided by the tags, the presence of a tag or tags having a given value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence.

According to another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of detecting the presence of tags within a target area by sending interrogation signals from a transceiver for selective modulation by tags present in the target area, each tag being allocated an

Claims

5

10

15

20

REPLACED BY 99/67735

- 1. A radio frequency tag apparatus comprising a plurality of tags and a transceiver for sending information to and receiveing information from the tags, wherein each tag is allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the tags comprising means for selectively modulating a signal received from the transceiver, and the transceiver comprising means for sending an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, wherein each portion is associated with a predetermined bit, or bit sequence, of the identification words and is used to interrogate, substantially at the same time, the tags to identify, in response to modulated signals provided by the tags, the presence of a tag or tags having a given value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence.
- 2. An apparatus as claimed in claim 1, further comprising, an antennae array, a radio frequency transponder, an external data communication port and an energising source.
- 3. An apparatus as claimed in 1 or 2 capable of generating modulated radio frequency power for application to the antennae.
- 4. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including in each tag an inductive loop antennae or capacitor plates that will convert the electric power into an electric field to communicate with transponders and in some cases provide the power for transponders where this power is not derived internally within the transponder from internal batteries or a light cell.

12

5. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including in each tag an antenna that will convert the signal power from the transceiver into an RF field to communicate with transponders.

6. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including means for determining the nature of the modulation based on the logical outcome of previous communications with tags to conduct a binary search.

5 .

10

15

20

- 7. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including means for detecting the modulation impressed on the field by any tag comprising a demodulator and an amplifier, wherein the modulation signal is sent to a processor in a logic block and is digitised within a logic processor and evaluated.
- 8. An apparatus as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, the tag or tags comprising of signal pickup means, a rectifier, a limiter with hysteresis, a clock extractor, a data extractor, a modulator and a logic section.
- 9. An apparatus as claimed in claim 8, in which the signal pickup means comprises a pickup coil.

10. A method of detecting the presence of tags within a target area by sending interrogation signals from a transceiver for selective modulation by tags present in the target area, each tag being allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the method comprising:

13

sending from a transceiver an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, each portion being associated with a predetermined bit or bit sequence of the identification words and being capable of conveying a given value for the bit or sequence of bits, wherein tags having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence are configured to modulate the signal, the modulation being used to identify the presence of those tags.

11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein the presence of a tag or tags having an individual identification word is detected by sending an interrogation signal having portions corresponding to all bits of the identification words.

10

5

12. A method as claimed in claim 10 or 11, wherein each portion comprises a first part which is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a first value, and a second part which is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a second value.

15

13. A method as claimed in claim 12, wherein if a portion is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits having the first value, the first part is sent, and if the portion is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a second value, the first and second parts are sent.

20

14. A method as claimed in claim 10 to 13, wherein a tag not having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence ignores further signals until an activation or a wake signal is received.


WO 99/67735

- 15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 14, wherein data bits of a tag transponder are read from and/or written to by sending further bits after the interrogation signal, wherein tag then deactivates and ignores further signals until an activation signal is received.
- 16. A radio frequency tag identification system comprising a receiver/transmitter and transponders which are adapted to start communication at the same time and to be simultaneously interrogated and progressively eliminated from interrogation.



(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
NJE/G04380PC	ACTION	20) as well as, where applicable, hell 5 below.			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/GB 99/01964	22/06/1999	. 22/06/1998			
Applicant		<u> </u>			
POWELL, George, Leonard					
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth Insmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report consists	of a level of 2 absolu	`			
1 (707)	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.			
1. Basis of the report		to a fine a total and the second and a fine			
	international search was carried out on the bas ess otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the			
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of th	ne international application furnished to this			
b. With regard to any nucleotide an		ternational application, the international search			
was carried out on the basis of the	e sequence listing : nal application in written form.				
I ==	rnational application in computer readable form	1.			
	this Authority in written form.				
	this Authority in computer readble form.	,			
	sequently furnished written sequence listing do	pes not go beyond the disclosure in the			
international application as the statement that the info furnished		identical to the written sequence listing has been			
Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).				
3. Unity of invention is lack	· ·				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4. With regard to the title,	•				
X the text is approved as sul	omitted by the applicant.	• •			
the text has been establish	ned by this Authority to read as follows:	· 7:			
					
		×			
5. With regard to the abstract,					
the text is approved as sult the text has been establish within and month from the	omitted by the applicant. ned, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorit date of mailing of this international search rep	y as it appears in Box III. The applicant may,			
6. The figure of the drawings to be public	·	?			
as suggested by the applic		None of the figures.			
because the applicant faile					
	characterizes the invention.	•			



CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER PC 6 G06K7/00 IPC 6 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 G06K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 1-3,5,20 March 1996 (1996-03-20) 10,11, 14-16 Υ column 4, line 18 -column 12, line 8; 8,9 figures 1-6 Α 12,13 Υ US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD ET AL) 8,9 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document Α US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 14,15 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1-5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention citation or other special reason (as specified) cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled "P" document published prior to the international filing date but in the art. later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 1 October 1999 08/10/1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nt, Degraeve, A Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

rmation on patent family members

CT/GB 99/01964

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date		Patent family Publication member(s) date				
EP 0	702324	Α	20-03-1996	US JP SG	5550547 8094746 33353	A 12-04-19			
US 50	345231	Α .	06-09-1994	AT DE EP JP JP	395224 59105094 0473569 2683305 6152473	D 11-05-19 A 04-03-19 B 26-11-19			
US 46	591202	A	01-09-1987	AT AU AU EP GB JP	65328 572321 4533485 0161779 2157132 62046281	B 05-05-19 A 29-01-19 A 21-11-19 A,B 16-10-19			

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

ERTL, Nick
Elkington and Fife
Prospect House
8 Pembroke Road
Kent TN13 1XR
GRANDE BRETAGNE

RECEIVED

19 JUN 2000

E. & F. SEVENOAMS

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

15.06.2000

Applicant's or agent's file reference NJE/JME/G04380PC

International application No.

PCT/GB99/01964

International filing date (day/month/year)

22/06/1999

Priority date (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

22/06/1998

Applicant

POWELL, George, Leonard

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized officer

Schmethüsen, S

Tel.+49 89 2399 2567/ 28 15



PCT

REC'D	19	JUN	2000
WIPO			PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACT	See Notifica	ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
NJE/JME	/G04	380PC	TOTTOTTTETTAGE	- Preiminary	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Internationa			International filing date (day	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB9	9/01	964	22/06/1999		22/06/1998
Internationa G06K7/00		nt Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC		,
Atirant					
Applicant	_				
POWELL	, Ge	orge, Leonard			
1. This ir and is	terna trans	ational preliminary exami smitted to the applicant a	ination report has been pr according to Article 36.	epared by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This F	EPO	RT consists of a total of	7 sheets, including this c	over sheet.	
b	en a	mended and are the bas	d by ANNEXES, i.e. shee sis for this report and/or sl 07 of the Administrative Ir	heets containing re	n, claims and/or drawings which have extifications made before this Authority ne PCT).
These	ann	exes consist of a total of	5 sheets.		
3. This r	ероπ	contains indications rela	ating to the following items	.	
, I	\boxtimes	Basis of the report			
- 11		Priority			
Ш	\boxtimes			elty, inventive step	and industrial applicability
IV	_	Lack of unity of invention			the state of the s
V	×	Reasoned statement u citations and explanation	nder Article 35(2) with reg ons suporting such staten	jard to novelty, inv nent	entive step or industrial applicability;
VI		Certain documents cit	ed		
VII	\boxtimes	Certain defects in the i	nternational application		
VIII	Ø	Certain observations o	n the international applica	ation	
			T	Data of completies :	f this report
Date of sub	missi	on of the demand		Date of completion o	i uis iepoit
16/12/19	99			15.06.2000	
		g address of the international ining authority:	al	Authorized officer	S. D. S.
		opean Patent Office 0298 Munich		Sucher, R	
	Tel.	+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365	6 epmu d		San
	rax	: +49 89 2399 - 4465	i	Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399 2148

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01964

I.	Basi	ie o	f th	a r	eno	rt
	Das					

ı.	bas	is of the report								
1.	resp	This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):								
	Des	cription, pages:								
	1,3-	10	as originally filed							
	2,28	ı ,	as received on	24/05/2000	with letter of	24/05/2000				
	Clai	ims, No.:								
	1-16	3	as received on	24/05/2000	with letter of	24/05/2000				
	Dra	wings, sheets:								
	1/2,	2/2	as originally filed							
2.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:							
		the claims,	Nos.:							
		the drawings,	sheets:							
3.			en established as if (some of) to beyond the disclosure as filed (l		nts had not been made	e, since they have been				
4.	Add	litional observations	s, if necessary:							
111.	Nor	n-establishment of	f opinion with regard to novel	ty, inventive	step and industrial a	pplicability				
			e claimed invention appears to able have not been examined in		volve an inventive ste	p (to be non-obvious),				
		the entire internati	ional application.							

☑ claims Nos. 16.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/01964

bed	caus	e:			
		the said international app not require an internation	olication nal preli	, or the s minary ex	aid claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does camination (specify):
	Ø	the description, claims o that no meaningful opinion	r drawin on could	igs (<i>indic</i> I be form	ate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear ed (specify):
		see separate sheet			
		the claims, or said claim could be formed.	s Nos.	are so ina	adequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion
		no international search r	eport ha	as been e	stablished for the said claims Nos
V.	Rea app	asoned statement under plicability; citations and	· Article explan	35(2) wi ations su	th regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial upporting such statement
1.	Sta	tement			
	No	velty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15
	Inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15
	Ind	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15
2.	Cit	ations and explanations			

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/01964

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 16 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for 1. which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claim attempts to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The technical features necessary for achieving this result should have been added.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: EP-A-0 702 324 (IBM) 20 March 1996.
- It is clear from the description on pages 6-7 in conjunction with fig. 1 that the 2. following feature is essential to the definition of the invention (see also claim 10):

each portion of the interrogation signal is determined by the transceiver in dependence on the modulation response from the tags to the previous portion.

Since independent claim 1 does not contain this feature it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

In contrast to the identification system described in D1 wherein, if more than one 3. tag tries to respond to the base station, the base station transceiver sends a fail command which causes the tags to retransmit the identification information depending on an internal state counter until only one tag remains (see col. 7, l. 27 - col. 8, I. 15), claim 1 - when clarified as above - solves the problem of identifying

subject-matter of claim 1 appears to involve an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

- Claim 10 only comprises method features corresponding to the function of the 4. apparatus features according to claim 1. Consequently, the statement made with respect to claim 1 is also valid for claim 10.
- Claims 2-9 and 11-15 are dependent on claims 1 and 10, respectively, and as 5. such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The term "without corruption" used in claims 1 and 10 is vague and indefinite and 1. leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).

Further, the meaning of "modulating a signal" which is used in claims 1 and 10 and describes an essential part of the invention is not clearly defined.

Finally, the terms "tag" and "transponder", which appear to describe the same device, are inconsistently used throughout the claims.

2. see item V, par. 2.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

Independent claims 1 and 10 are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 1. 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/GB99/01964

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

24-05-2000

20

of the field or alternatively by use of complicated algorithms to decrypt overlay data.

These systems are typically hindered by more than one tag 'talking' at the same time.

In the past systems have attempted to decrypt overlaying data caused by multiple tags 'talking' at the same time or have relied on random transmissions to separate signals in the time domain or have used a field beam or conduct a time consuming binary search to isolate individual tags.

Often tags need to be read twice or even 3 times to confirm there has been no data error.

Such a system is disclosed in European patent application number 95112673.9. A tree splitting algorithm is used to identify a tag in a field. Where all tags respond simultaneously, they interfere with each others transmission and the base station receives corrupted data. The tree splitting algorithm organizes and sequences the transmission from tags via a random number generator so that the base station receives data in an orderly manner that is not superimposed and therefore corrupted.

According to an aspect of the invention, there is provided a radio frequency tag apparatus comprising a plurality of tags and a transceiver for sending information to, and simultaneously receiving information from, a plurality of tags without corruption, wherein each tag is allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the tags comprising means for selectively modulating a signal received from the transceiver, and the transceiver comprising means for sending an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, wherein each portion is associated with a predetermined bit, or bit sequence, of the identification words

2a

used to simultaneously interrogate, substantially at the same time, the tags to identify, in response to modulated signals provided by the tags, the presence of a tag or tags having a given value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence.

According to another aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of detecting the presence of tags within a target area by sending interrogation signals from a transceiver for selective simultaneous modulation by tags present in the target area, each tag being allocated an

<u>Claims</u>

24-05-2000

5

10

- 1. A radio frequency tag identification system comprising a plurality of tags and a transceiver for sending information to and simultaneously receiving information from a plurality of tags without corruption, wherein each tag is allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the tags comprising means for selectively modulating a signal received from the transceiver, and the transceiver comprising means for sending an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, wherein each portion is associated with a predetermined bit, or bit sequence, of the identification words and is used to simultaneously interrogate tags and simultaneously receive information from tags to identify, in response to modulated signals provided by the tags, the presence of a tag or tags having a given value at the bit or bit sequence.
- A system as claimed in claim 1, the transceiver further comprising, an antennae array,
 a radio frequency transponder, an external data communication port and an energizing source.
 - 3. A system as claimed in claim 2, the transceiver being capable of generating modulated radio frequency power for application to the antennae.
 - 4. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including in each tag an inductive loop antennae or capacitor plates that will convert the electric power into an electric field to communicate with transponders and provide the power for transponders where this power is not derived internally within the transponder from internal batteries or a light cell.
 - 5. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, including in each tag an antenna that will convert the signal power from the transceiver into an RF field to communicate with transponders.

20

24-05-2000

10

20

25

- 6. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, the transceiver including means for determining the nature of the modulation based on the logical outcome of previous communications with tags to conduct a binary search.
- 7. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, the transceiver including means for detecting the modulation impressed on the field by any tag or plurality of tags in simultaneous communication, without corruption, comprising a demodulator and an amplifier, wherein the modulation signal is sent to a processor in a logic block and is digitized within a logic processor and evaluated.
 - 8. A system as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, the tag or tags comprising signal pickup means, a rectifier, a limiter with hysteresis, a clock extractor, a data extractor, a modulator and a logic section.
- 9. A system as claimed in claim 8, in which the signal pickup means comprises a pickup coil.
 - 10. A method of detecting the presence of tags within a target area by sending interrogation signals from a transceiver for selective simultaneous modulation by active tags present in the target area, each tag being allocated an identification word comprising a predetermined number of bits, the method comprising:
 - sending from a transceiver an interrogation signal comprising a plurality of portions, each portion being associated with predetermined bit or bit sequence of the identification words and being capable of conveying a given value for the bit or sequence of bits, each portion being determined by the transceiver in dependence on the modulation response to the previous portion, wherein all tags in the field having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence are configured to simultaneously modulate the signal, the modulation being used to identify the presence of those tags.

15

20

25

- 11. A method as claimed in claim 10, wherein the presence of a tag or tags having an individual identification word is detected by sending an interrogation signal having portions and corresponding to all bits of the identification words.
- 5 12. A method as claimed in claim 10 or 11, using an adaptive interrogation signal wherein each portion comprises a first part which is used to simultaneously interrogate all active tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a first value, and a second part which is determined in dependence on the simultaneous response of the active tags in the field to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a second value.
 - 13. A method as claimed in claim 12, wherein if a portion is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits having the first value, the first part is sent, and if the portion is used to interrogate the tags to determine whether the associated bit or sequence of bits has a second value, the first and second parts are sent.
 - 14. A method as claimed in claim 10 to 13, wherein a tag not having the value at the predetermined bit or bit sequence ignores further signals until an activation or a wake signal is received.
 - 15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 10 to 14, wherein data bits of a tag transponder are read from and/or written to by sending further bits after the interrogation signal, wherein tag then deactivates and ignores further signals until an activation signal is received.
 - 16. A method whereby a tag can determine if the reader transceiver has received its attempted communication based on subsequent interrogation signals.

M



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		of Transmittal of International Search Report (20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
NJE/G04380PC	ACTION (FOILI PC17/SA/2	20) as well as, where applicable, tern 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB 99/01964	22/06/1999	22/06/1998
Applicant		
POWELL, George, Leonard		
This international Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this international Searching Auti ansmitted to the international Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
This international Search Report consists It is also accompanied by	of a total of sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report
Basis of the report		
 a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, uni 	international search was carried out on the bas ess otherwise indicated under this item.	als of the international application in the
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of t	he international application furnished to this
was carried out on the basis of the	d/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the in e sequence listing : nal application in written form.	ternational application, the International search
	mational application in computer readable form	n.
	this Authority in written form. this Authority in computer readble form.	
the statement that the sub	sequently furnished written sequence listing do	oes not go beyond the disclosure in the
		Identical to the written sequence listing has been
Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box I).	
3. Unity of invention is lact	ding (see Box II).	
4. With regard to the title,		
X the text is approved as su	brnitted by the applicant.	
the text has been established	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
C. Mich accorded the state of		
5. With regard to the abstract, The text is approved as suf	bmitted by the applicant	
the text has been establish	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authorit date of mailing of this international search rep	y as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, ort, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be publi		3
as suggested by the applic	cant.	None of the figures.
because the applicant falls	•	
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.	



ational Application No

According to Informational Patient Classification (IPC) or to both national disselfication and IPC B. FELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation seasonable (disselfication system followed by disselfication symbols) IPC 6 G06K Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the ordered fivel such documents are included in the fields searched Section of Commentation searched during the international search (name of data bases and, where products, search terms used) Electronic data base corrected during the international search (name of data bases and, where products, search terms used) Electronic data base corrected during the international search (name of data bases and, where products, search terms used) Electronic data base corrected during the international search (name of data bases and, where products, search terms used) Electronic data base corrected during the international search (name of data bases and, where products, search terms used) Electronic data base corrected during the international search (name of data bases and, where products, search terms used) Electronic data base correct, with indexiston, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Flatevart to claim No. I = 3, 5, 10, 11, 14, 16 8, 9 12, 13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD ET AL) 8, 9 I September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document 1997 (1997–09–01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; Electronic data documents are lated in the continuation of box C. Placet family members are lated in arrive. The continuation of the declaration of a declaration or other the potential on an other the potential on an other the potential on a column of production of the application by application by a production of the continuation of the placeted on an order the potential on a column of production in the production of an order to be column of the placeted of the column of the placeted		IFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documenta are included in the fields searched Electronic data hase consulted during the international search (name of data base oard, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS COMBIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Ca	I TAC 0	GUOK//UU		,		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documenta are included in the fields searched Electronic data hase consulted during the international search (name of data base oard, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS COMBIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Ca				· ·		
Decumentation searched (classification system followed by classification embods)	According t	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classific	cation and IPC			
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documenta are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Catagory* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to data No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) Y column 4, 11ne 18 -column 12, 11ne 8; figures 1-6 A US 5 345 231 A (HOLINEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1997 (1997–09–01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; figures 1-5 Futher document but published on or ofter the international filter document to displace for an extension or of the discount of a publication relevance The document but published on or ofter the international filter data or of a publication relevance to the published of the Perinamional filter data or of the discount of a published relevance to the protect relevance The document defining the general state of the set which is not considered to be published and protein yellaricity or which is dated to exhibit in the publication and the or published state of a protein continue to the published of the Perinamional filting date or another than the potenty date or appeal relevance to the protein date of another challen or other special relevance (as specified) The document of published of not on the first minimum to a complete of the same patient from the protein date or almost in the published of the september of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the published or or three other and document of the publ	B. FIELDS	SEARCHED				
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Bectroride data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Catation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996-03-20) 10,11, 14-16 A 10,5 345 231 A (HOLUEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) 11 September 1994 (1994-09-06) 12,13 WS 5 345 231 A (HOLUEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) 13 September 1997 (1987-09-01) 13 September 1997 (1987-09-01) 14,15 Further documents are lated in the continuation of box C. Special categories of citied documents: **A document defining the general data of the ort which is not considerable to be of particalar relevance. **I extend document but published on or other the international control to continue the orthogonic many or other which is closed to establish the published of an orthor which is closed or specific field and control to continue the orthogonic many or other which is closed to establish the published on or other the international count of the orthogonic many or or other which is closed to establish the published address of another which is closed to establish the published address of another which is closed to establish the published address of another which is closed or point to be continued to orthogonic many or or other which is not control to continue the orthogonic many or or other which is not control and control to control be controlled to control or other which is closed or disorder. **Controlled to point or orthogonic many orthogonic many orthogonic many orthogonic many orthogonic m	Minimum d		don symbols)			
Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Cluster of document, with indexition, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to data No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 11-3,5, 10,11, 14-16 4-16 8,9 Column 4, 11ne 18 -column 12, 11ne 8; 8,9 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLMEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; frigures 1–5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in armox. To document design the general date of the art which is not continuated the principle of the continuation of the principle of the continuation of the continua	Irc o	GUOK				
Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Cluster of document, with indexition, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to data No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 11-3,5, 10,11, 14-16 4-16 8,9 Column 4, 11ne 18 -column 12, 11ne 8; 8,9 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLMEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; frigures 1–5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in armox. To document design the general date of the art which is not continuated the principle of the continuation of the principle of the continuation of the continua						
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Chatter of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to data No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 10,11, 14–16 8,9 Column 4, line 18 –column 12, line 8; 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLMEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1997 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Futher documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents: **document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of puritacular relevance critical or other special reason (as specialized) in which is related to a special reason (as special column) or document of puritacular relevance **Column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Patent family members are lated in armox. To interference are lated in the principle or of chief documents: **Column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Interference are lated on the interval of the same patent in the principle or the collection of central the principle or the calmed inventor or considered novel or carroot be considered to the considered in the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the application of the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined inventor or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined the control the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined inventor. To control the co	Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fields se	arched .		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Chatter of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to data No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 10,11, 14–16 8,9 Column 4, line 18 –column 12, line 8; 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLMEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1997 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Futher documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents: **document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of puritacular relevance critical or other special reason (as specialized) in which is related to a special reason (as special column) or document of puritacular relevance **Column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Patent family members are lated in armox. To interference are lated in the principle or of chief documents: **Column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Interference are lated on the interval of the same patent in the principle or the collection of central the principle or the calmed inventor or considered novel or carroot be considered to the considered in the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the application of the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined inventor or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined the control the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined inventor. To control the co						
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Chatter of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to data No. X EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 10,11, 14–16 8,9 Column 4, line 18 –column 12, line 8; 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLMEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1997 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Futher documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents: **document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of puritacular relevance critical or other special reason (as specialized) in which is related to a special reason (as special column) or document of puritacular relevance **Column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Patent family members are lated in armox. To interference are lated in the principle or of chief documents: **Column 4, line 1 –column 7, line 12; Interference are lated on the interval of the same patent in the principle or the collection of central the principle or the calmed inventor or considered novel or carroot be considered to the considered in the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the application of the later almost or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined inventor or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position or carroot be considered to the considered in the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined the control the control to conflict with the original position to a person defined inventor. To control the co	Electronic d	ata base consulted during the international search (name of data be	as and where practical search terms used			
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996-03-20) 1-3,5, 10,11, 14-16 8,9 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLVEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; Further documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; *Peters family members are lated in annex. *Procedul categories of cited documents *A document detaining the percent state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances the differ being the control of an other which is able to exclusive the patients of a month of a control of the control of an other which is claim to be of paticular relevances the column of a control of an other in the principle of a control of an other in the principle of a control		•				
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996-03-20) 1-3,5, 10,11, 14-16 8,9 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLVEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; Further documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; *Peters family members are lated in annex. *Procedul categories of cited documents *A document detaining the percent state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances the differ being the control of an other which is able to exclusive the patients of a month of a control of the control of an other which is claim to be of paticular relevances the column of a control of an other in the principle of a control of an other in the principle of a control		•				
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996-03-20) 1-3,5, 10,11, 14-16 8,9 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLVEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; Further documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; *Peters family members are lated in annex. *Procedul categories of cited documents *A document detaining the percent state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances the differ being the control of an other which is able to exclusive the patients of a month of a control of the control of an other which is claim to be of paticular relevances the column of a control of an other in the principle of a control of an other in the principle of a control						
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996-03-20) 1-3,5, 10,11, 14-16 8,9 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLVEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; Further documents are lated in the continuation of box C. *Special categories of cited documents A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, 11ne 1 -column 7, 11ne 12; *Peters family members are lated in annex. *Procedul categories of cited documents *A document detaining the percent state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevances the differ being the control of an other which is able to exclusive the patients of a month of a control of the control of an other which is claim to be of paticular relevances the column of a control of an other in the principle of a control of an other in the principle of a control						
EP 0 702 324 A (IBM) 20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 10,11, 14–16 A 12,13 Y column 4, line 18 -column 12, line 8; figures 1–6 12,13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1–5 Futher documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in armox. Y later document but published after the international fling date or priority date and not in contider with the application but members are listed in armox. T later document but published after the international fling date or priority date and not in contider with the application of any other cited to establish the published on or after the international fling date or priority date and not in contidered novel or cannot be considered to entable the published on or after the international fling date or priority date and not in contidered novel or cannot be considered to invertion after document but published on or after the international fling date or priority date and not in contidered novel or cannot be considered to invertion after document but published on or after the international fling date or priority date and not in contidered novel or cannot be considered to invertion after document but published after the international fling date or priority date and not in contidered novel or cannot be considered to invertion after document but published after the international fling date or priority date and not in continue with the published after document but published after the international fling date or priority date and not in continue with the application but an advanced to considered to invertion after document but published after the international fling date or priority date and not in continue date in the analysis of the published after the international fling date or priority date and not in continue date and not in continue date in the analysis of the published afte	C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) Y column 4, line 18 -column 12, line 8; figures 1-6 A	Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	levant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) Y column 4, line 18 -column 12, line 8; figures 1-6 A						
20 March 1996 (1996–03–20) 10, 11, 14–16 20 column 4, line 18 -column 12, line 8; figures 1–6 12, 13 Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1–5 Further documents are lated in the continuation of box C. X Petent tarnily members are lated in armox. Telegrate document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but check to inderestand the principle or theory underlying the invention or other expectal reason (as specified and or other expectal reason (as specified with the published on or after the international filing date or other expectal reason (as specified such document of purificular relevance) the citimed invention or which is date to established prior to the international established prior to the inte	χ	EP 0 702 324 A (IBM)		1-3.5.		
Tuther documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance Fe earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of considered to be of particular relevance of the most of the most of the relevance of the continuation of the special reason (cs. special continuation) To document that published on or after the international filing date of considered to be of particular relevance of the special reason (cs. special continuation) To document that published on or after the international filing date of considered to the considered to the considered to the considered to the special reason (cs. special continuation) To document of purtualized relevance the claimed invertible of considered to the invertible of considered to the invertible of considered to the invertible of the state of considered to the invertible of the state of considered to the considered to the invertible of the state of the carried be considered to the considered to the invertible of the state of considered to the cons						
Futher documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the fall of the filling date. Televance to the filling date or pricely claim(a) or other resonance to the fall of the filling date or other resonance to the date of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the date of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the date of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the date of the art which is not considered to the date of the date of the considered of the particular relevance to the date of the dat						
Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLMEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1–5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in armox. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in armox. Yether document published after the international fling date or priority date and not in confident with the application but drawful or understand the priority date and not in confident or box possible in the publication after or priority date and not in confident distribution or other special reason (as specified) Yether document which may throw doubt on priority claim(e) or which is foot to establish the publication date of another which is foot to establish the publication date of another charton or other special reason (as specified) Yether document events are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in armox. Yether document published after the International fling date or priority date and not in confident with the application but or priority date and not in confident with the application but drawful or carnot be considered referring to an end disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) Yether document published after the International Illing date on the confident priority of comment of particular reference the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document to combine disclosure to a person skilled in the art. Yether document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Yether document published after the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document to comment of particular reference the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive st	Y		ne 8;	8,9		
Y US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD ET AL) 6 September 1994 (1994–09–06) the whole document A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1–5 *Special categories of cited documents: *A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E' earlier document but published on or after the International filing date or other stated on either and another citation or other special reason (sa specified) *O' document which may truny doubte on priority clairing? *O' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *O' document which may truny doubte on priority clairing? *O' document defining the description of other special reason (sa specified) *O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P' document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date claimed I October 1999 Name and malling address of the ISA Europeen Pattert (Office, P.B. 5618 Patentiana 2 N.I. – 2280 HY Rijawijk) T.I. (431–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 861 epo rii,	Δ	Tigures 1-6		10 10		
6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1-5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority (clark(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document which may throw doubts on priority (clark(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date calimed in the art. "It is the document but the destablish the publication of another other means "I document which may throw doubts on priority (clark(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the comment of the state than the priority date calimed "I the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiana 2 N.I 2220 (HY Fillswiff) Tel. (491-79) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nf,	^			12,13		
6 September 1994 (1994-09-06) the whole document US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1-5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority (clark(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document which may throw doubts on priority (clark(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document published prior to the International filing date but later than the priority date calimed in the art. "It is the document but the destablish the publication of another other means "I document which may throw doubts on priority (clark(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the comment of the state than the priority date calimed "I the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiana 2 N.I 2220 (HY Fillswiff) Tel. (491-79) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nf,	Υ	US 5 345 231 A (HOLWEG GERALD E	ΓAL)	8.9		
A US 4 691 202 A (DENNE PHILLIP R M ET AL) 1 September 1987 (1987-09-01) column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1-5 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but bulblehed on or after the international filing date or shortly date and not in conflict with the application but obtain the document which may throw doubts on priority claim(e) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chardon or other special reason (as specified) "O" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(e) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chardon or other special reason (as specified) "O" document affect the international filing date but later than the priority date admed in or office special to involve an investive step when the document is taken alone which is cited to establish the publication date of another chardon or other special reason (as specified) Dotto of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Partent Office, P.B. 5616 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2200 NH Rijawijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2404, Tx. 31 651 epont.		6 September 1994 (1994-09-06)	•	-,-		
1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) col lumn 4, line 1 -col lumn 7, line 12; figures 1–5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether document defining the present state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or considered to inconflict with the ap		the whole document				
1 September 1987 (1987–09–01) col lumn 4, line 1 -col lumn 7, line 12; figures 1–5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether documents are listed in the continuation of box C. X Petent family members are listed in annex. Yether document defining the present state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but of the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or priority date and not in conflict with the application but or considered to inconflict with the ap	A			14 15		
Column 4, line 1 -column 7, line 12; figures 1-5 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention which is cited to establish the publication date of another which is cited to establish the publication date of another charton or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 851 epo rii,	A .	1 Sentember 1987 (1987-09-01)	T EI AL)	14,15		
Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "I' later document the principle or theory underlying the invention or other special reason (as specified) "C" document which may throw doubte on priority claim(e) or which is dited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentian 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo rii,			12:			
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of accument which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date datimed Totober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HY Rijswijk Tet. (451-70) 340-2040, Tx. S1 661 epo ni,			'			
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of accument which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date datimed Totober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HY Rijswijk Tet. (451-70) 340-2040, Tx. S1 661 epo ni,		•				
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of accument which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date datimed Totober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HY Rijswijk Tet. (451-70) 340-2040, Tx. S1 661 epo ni,				•		
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of accument which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date datimed Totober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HY Rijswijk Tet. (451-70) 340-2040, Tx. S1 661 epo ni,						
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of accument which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date datimed Totober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HY Rijswijk Tet. (451-70) 340-2040, Tx. S1 661 epo ni,			·			
Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date of accument which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date datimed Totober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HY Rijswijk Tet. (451-70) 340-2040, Tx. S1 661 epo ni,						
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the International filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	Furth	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in	annex.		
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is died to establish the publication date of another otation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filling date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	° Special car	regories of cited documents:				
"E" earlier document but published on or after the International filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(e) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Toctober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 851 epo ni,	"A" docume	nt defining the general state of the art which is not	or priority date and not in conflict with the	e application but		
filing date "L" document which may throw doubte on priority claim(e) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chain or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Toctober 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswljk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nil,	consid	ered to be of particular relevance		ry underlying the		
"Y" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another claimon or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswljk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nil,	filing d	a te				
chation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nil,	which i	s cited to establish the publication date of another	Involve an inventive step when the docu	ment le taken alone		
other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date dalmed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	citation	or other special reason (as specified)	cannot be considered to involve an inve	ntive step when the		
Table of the actual completion of the international search Date of the actual completion of the international search 1 October 1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	other n	neans	ments, such combination being obvious			
1 October 1999 08/10/1999 Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	"P" docume later th	nt published prior to the international filing date but an the priority date claimed		mily		
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the International sean	ch report		
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,			<u>-</u> ,	•		
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijawijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	1 Uctober 1999 08/10/1999					
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijawijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	Name and m	naling address of the ISA	Authorized officer			
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,						
			Degraeve, A			

tion on patent family members

national	Application No	
T∕GB	99/01964	

Patent document cited in search report	t	Publication date	1	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0702324	A	20-03-1996	US	5550547 A	27-08-1996
			JP	8094746 A	12-04-1996
			SG	33353 A	18-10-1996
US 5345231	Α	06-09-1994	AT	395224 B	27-10-1992
			DE	59105094 D	11-05-1995
			EP	0473569 A	04-03-1992
			JP	2683305 B	26-11-1997
			JP	6152473 A	31-05-1994
US 4691202	A	01-09-1987	AT	65328 T	15-08-1991
•			AU	572321 B	05-05-1988
			AU	4533485 A	29-01-1987
			EP	0161779 A	21-11-1985
•			GB	2157132 A.B	16-10-1985
			JP	62046281 A	28-02-1987